### Foreword

#### How Forecasts Are Made

Most of the annual streamflow in the Western United States originates as snowfall. This snowfall accumulates high in the mountains during winter and early spring. As the snowpack accumulates, hydrologists estimate the runoff that will occur when it melts. Predictions are based on careful measurements of snow water equivalent at selected index points. Precipitation, temperature, soil moisture and antecedent streamflow data are viewed in conjunction with snowpack data to prepare runoff forecasts. This report presents a comprehensive picture of water supply outlook conditions for areas dependent upon surface runoff. It includes selected streamflow forecasts, summarized snowpack and precipitation data, reservoir storage data and narratives describing current conditions.

Streamflow forecasts are cooperatively generated by Soil Conservation Service and National Weather Service hydrologists. Forecasts become more accurate as more data affecting runoff becomes known. For this reason, forecasts are issued that reflect three future precipitation conditions — Below Normal, Average, and Above Normal. These forecasts are termed reasonable minimum, most probable, and reasonable maximum. Actual streamflow can be expected to fall between the lower and upper forecast values eight out of ten years.

Snowpack data are obtained by using a combination of manual and automated measurement methods. Manual readings of snow depth and water equivalent are taken at locations called snow courses on a monthly or semi-monthly schedule during the winter. In addition, snow water equivalent, precipitation, temperature, and other parameters are monitored on a daily basis and transmitted via radio telemetry to central data collection facilities. Both monthly and daily data are used to project snowmeit runoff.

#### For More Information

Copies of Monthly Water Supply Outlook Reports and other reports may be obtained from the states listed below. Because of the limited space, snow survey measurements are not published in monthly reports. An annual snow survey data summary is published by the Soil Conservation Service for each of the western states. Historical snow survey data may be obtained at those same offices.

STATE

**ADDRESS** 

Alaska

201 East 9th Ave., Suite 300, Anchorage, AK 99501-3687

Arizona

201 East Indianola, Sulte 200, Phoenix, AZ 85012

Colorado

2490 West 26th Ave., Denver, CO 80211

(New Mexico)

ldaho

304 North 8th Street, Room 345, Bolse, ID 83702

Montana

10 East Babcock, Room 443, Federal Building, Bozeman, MT 59715

Nevada

50 South Virginia Street, Third Floor, Reno, NV 89505

Oregon

1220 Southwest 3rd Ave., 16th Floor, Portland, OR 97204

Utah

4402 Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, UT 84147

Washington

360 U.S. Court House, Spokane, WA 99201

Wyoming

Federal Building, 100 East "B" Street, Casper, WY 82602

In addition to state reports, a Water Supply Outlook for the Western United States is published by the Soil Conservation Service and National Weather Service monthly, January through May. Reports may be obtained from the Soil Conservation Service, West National Technical Center, 511 Northwest Broadway, Room 547, Portland, OR 97209.

#### Published by other agencies:

Water Supply Outlook Reports prepared by other agencies Include: California — Snow Survey Branch, California Department of Water Resources, P.O. Box 388, Sacramento, CA 98502; British Columbia — The Ministry of Environment, Water Investigations Branch, Parliament Buildings, Victoria, British Columbia, V8V 1X5; Yukon Territory — Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, Northern Operations Branch, 200 Range Road, Whitehorse, Yukon Territory, Y1A 3V1; Alberta, Saskatchewan, and N.W.T. — The Water Survey of Canada, Inland Waters Branch, 110-12 Avenue S.W., Calgary, Alberta, T3C 1A6.

# Montana Water Supply Outlook

and

Federal - State - Private Cooperative Snow Surveys

#### Issued by

Wilson Scaling Chief Soil Conservation Service Washington, D.C.


#### Released by

Glen H. Loomis State Conservationist Soii Conservation Service Bozeman, Montana

#### Prepared by

Phillip E. Farnes Snow Survey Supervisor Soil Conservation Service 10 E. Babcock Bozeman, Montana 59715

Programs and assistance of the United States Department of Agriculture are available without regard to race, creed, color, sex, age, or national origin.



## GENERAL OUTLOOK

#### SUMMARY #

Snowpack conditions improved over most of the state during February. The southern half of Montana generally has average or a little above average The northern half of the state generally snowpack. Also, the Gallatin and has below average snowpack. parts of the Red Rock, Yellowstone and Musselshell drainages have below average snow cover. February precipitation was above average. Some rain that occurred in northwest Montana passed through the Warm temperatures, rain and low elevation snowpack. snowmelt combined to generate runoff in many areas. Most low elevation snowpack is now gone. Streamflows during the spring and summer months are forecast to be near to a little above average for southern drainages dropping to below average runoff over the remainder of the state.

#### SNOWPACK:

February was a good snowfall month. Most areas showed an increase of 10 to 20 percent in snowpack figures over those reported on February 1. The greatest increase was noted in the southern part of the state during the last 2 weeks of February. headwaters in southern Montana show near to above average snowpack. Exceptions are the Gallatin and parts of the Red Rock, Musselshell and Yellowstone drainages. Almost all areas in the northern half of the state have below average snowpack with many locations showing less snow than was reported last year at this time. Rain fell in the northern part of Montana near the end of February and passed through the snowpack. Warm temperatures during the last we of the month melted some low and mid-elevation snow and depleted snow from valley areas.

#### PRECIPITATION:

February precipitation was above average throughout all mountain ranges in Montana. Some locations recorded as much as two times their average February amounts. Usually precipitation at this time of year falls as snow even in the valley areas. This year, some of the precipitation occurred as rain even in the higher elevations of the northwestern part of the state. In many areas, the rain passed through the snowpack and generated early season runoff.


#### RESERVOIRS:

Nelson Reservoir in the Milk River drainage, most reservoirs in the Musselshell River drainage, Smith River Reservoir and Tongue River Reservoir had below average storage on the last day of February. All other irrigation reservoirs have storage levels near or above average. Most multipurpose or hydroelectric reservoirs have near average storage.

#### STREAMFLOW:

Streamflow forecasts are based on current snowpack and soil moisture conditions and near average precipitation for the remainder of the season. of the Divide, most streams and rivers are forecast to have below average spring and summer runoff. Bitterroot River drainage and adjacent Rock Creek are forecast to flow a little below average. Most streams in the Flathead and Clark Fork River drainages are expected to produce about 80 to 85 percent of average runoff. Smaller streams with lower elevation headwaters in the Kootenai and Clark Fork should have streamflows in the 70 to 80 percent of average range. East of the Divide, forecasts for the Missouri River headwaters vary from near average on the Jefferson, to above average on the Madison and below average on the Gallatin. Runoff from central Montana mountain ranges is expected to be near to a little below average. Streams flowing from the west into the Missouri River downstream from Canyon Ferry Reservoir and those in the St. Mary drainage are expected to produce only 75 to 85 percent of their average runoff. The Yellowstone, Boulder, Stillwater and Clarks Fork Rivers are forecast to be near average. Downstream, the Bighorn, Little Bighorn, Tongue and Powder Rivers are all forecast to have above average streamflows.

## Kootenai Basin



WATER SUPPLY BUTLOOK:

The mountain snowpack improved slightly during the past month. February precipitation was a little above average but much of it fell as rainfall and passed through the snowpack. Also some snowmelt was noted at lower elevations. Snow conditions are a little better in Canada. There is less snow than last year on the watersheds. Spring and summer streamflows on the Kootenai River are expected to be a little below average. Smaller tributary streams in Montana are expected to have below average runoff.

#### KOOTENAI RIVER BASIN in Montana


FORECAST POINT	FORECAST	20 YR. AVE.	MOST PROBABLE	MOST PROBABLE	REAS.	REAS. HIN.	PEAK FLOH	PEAK	LOH FLOH	LON
	PERIOD	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(% AVE.)	(% AVE.)	(% AVE.)	(CFS)	DATE	(CFS)	DATE
KOOTENAI RIVER blw Libby Daa =	APR-JUL	6020.0	5570.0	92	115	71				
	APR-SEP	7041.0	6520.0	92	115	71				
FISHER RIVER near Libby	APR-JUL	248.0	177.0	71	97	46				
Tonch NIVER Hear Eleby	APR-SEP	264.0	189.0	71	98	45				
MAAK RIVER near Troy	APR-JUL	500.0	400.0	80	106	54				
	APR-SEP	523.0	425.0	81	107	55				•
COOTENAI RIVER at Leonia #	APR-JUL	7498.0	6810.0	90	112	70				
	APR-SEP	8602.0	7810.0	90	112	70				
	APR-JUN	6051.0	5423.0	87	111	69				

	RESERVOIR STORAGE	(1000AF)	I HATERSHED SN	DHPACK AN	nlysis	
RESERVOIR	USEABLE I CAPACITYI I	** USEABLE STORAGE ** THIS LAST YEAR YEAR AVE.	MATERSHED	NO. COURSES AVE.D	THIS YEA	R AS % OF AVERAGE
LAKE KODCANUSA	5748.0	2108.0 1885.0 1948.0	I EAST KOOTENAI in B.C.	25	99	70
			KOOTENAI in HONTANA	31	72	72
			I KOOTENAI ab BONNERS FERRY I	56	80	78

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage. Average is for 1961-80 period.

# Flathead Basin

#### Mountain snowpack\* (inches)






Maximum

Minimum Current

Average

#### Precipitation\* (percent of normal)



\*Based on selected stations

Monthly precipitation

Year to date precipitation

#### WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

Snowpack has improved slightly during February even though some of the moisture came as rain and passed through the snowpack. Presently, there is less snow than was measured last year on this date. Tota1 precipitation for February was above average. runoff has occurred from snowmelt caused by recent warm temperatures and rain. Spring and summer streamflows are predicted to be below average on al! drainages.

## FLATHEAD RIVER BASIN


FORECAST FOINT	FORECAST	20 YR. AVE.	NOST Probable	MOST Frobable	REAS. MAX.	REAS. HIN.	PEAK FLON	PEAK	FOH	LOK
	PERIOD	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(% AVE.)	(% AVE.)	(% AVE.)	(CFS)	DATE	FLOH (CFS)	DATE
T Playing										
RF FLATHEAD near Colvabia Falls	APR-JUL	1732.0	1380,0	79	96	64				
	APR-SEP	1913.0	1530.0	79	96	64				
	APR-JUN	1471.0	1175.0	79	96	64				
F FLATHEAD near West Glacier	APR-JUL	1713.0	1480.0	88	102	70				
	APR-SEP	1869.0	1610.0	86	102	70				
	APR-JUN	1453.0	1270.0	87	103	71				
				07	100	71				
F FLATHEAD near Columbia Falls x	APR-JUL	2142.0	1860.0	86	110	64				
	APR-SEP	2278.0	1980.0	86	107	67				
	APR-JUN	1886.0	1640.0	86	110	64				
						٠.				
LATHEAD at Columbia Falls x	ARP-JUL	5721.0	4840.0	84	101	69				
	APR-SEP	6208.0	5260.0	B4	101	69				
	APR-JUN	4921.0	4180.0	84	101	69				
AAN RIVER near Big Fork	APR-JUL	604.0	530.0	07	104	70				
	APR-SEP	689.0	600.0	87	104	72				
		00710	00010	87	103	71				
ATHEAD RIVER near Polson x	APR-JUL	6712.0	5800.0	86	102	70				
	APR-SEP	7278.0	6290.0	86	102	70				
	APR-JUN	5759.0	4955.0	86	102	70				

	RESERVOIR STORAGE	MATERSHED SNOWPACK ANALYSIS						
RESERVOIR	USEABLE I CAPACITYI I	XX US THIS YEAR	EABLE STO LAST YEAR	RAGE XX	WATERSHED	NO. COURSES AVE.D	THIS YEAR	
CAMAS (4)	45.2	20.5	17.0	21.0	NORTH FORK FLATHEAD	15	74	
HISSION VALLEY (8)	100.0	44.3	36.4	38.1	HIDDLE FORK FLATHEAD	11	81	
HUNGRY HORSE	3451.0	2281.0	2007.0	2213.0	SOUTH FORK FLATHEAD	13	81	
FLATHEAD LAKE	1791.0	012.5	746.8	934.1 1		9	79	
		•		1 	SHAN	11	85	
				 	LITTLE BITTERROOT	9	74	
•				! !	FLATHEAD	48	79	
				1	·			

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage. Average is for 1961-80 period.

## Clark Fork Basin above Missoula


#### Mountain snowpack\* (inches)



· Clark Fork above Missoula



#### Precipitation\* (percent of normal)



\*Based on selected stations

Monthly precipitation

Year to date precipitation

#### WATER SUPPLY DUTLOOK:

Snowpack conditions improved somewhat during Februar but they are still below average over most of the drainage. February precipitation was well above average. Some runoff was generated from low elevation snowmelt and rainfall during the last week in February. Runoff during spring and summer is forecast to be below average.

#### CLARK FORK RIVER BASIN above Missoula


STRE	<b>AHFI</b>	Λu	FORECA	STS

FORECAST FOINT	FORECAST	20 YR: AVE:	NOST PROBABLE	MOST PROBABLE	REAS. MAX.	REAS. MIN.	PEAK FLOH	PEAK	LOX FLOX	LΩH
	PERIOD	(1000AF)		(% AVE.)		(% AVE.)		DATE	(CFS)	DATE
GULTON RESERVOIR Inflow (MG)*	APR-JUL	263.0	210.0	79	106	54				
	APR-JUN	237.0	190.0	80	105	55				
NARM SPRINGS CR at Meyers Dam ≖	APR-JUL	37.8	33.3	88	114	61				
	APR-SEP	46.8	41.2	88	113	62				
LINT CREEK near Southern Cross *	APR-JUL	15.4	12.3	79	117	45				
	APR-SEP	18.3	14.5	79	115	44				
LINT CREEK below Boulder Creek x	APR-JUL	59.9	50.0	83	120	47				
	APR-SEP	75.8	63,4	83	120	47				
OHER WILLOW OR RES Inflow #	APR-JUL	14.9	10.5	70	107	34				
	APR-SEP	15.7	11.0	70	108	35				
. FK. ROCK CRK near Philipsburg	APR-JUL	70.5	62.5	88	115	62				
	APR-SEP	78.2	69.2	88	115	63				
EVADA CREEK mear Finn	APR-JUL	21.3	16.2	76	113	42				
	APR-SEP	23.0	17.5	76	113	39				
LACKFOOT RIVER near Bonner	APR-JUL	904.0	725.0	80	96	64				
	APR-SEP	999.0	820.0	82	98	66				
	*APR-JUX	782.0	637.0	81	97	65				
LARK FORK RIVER above Hilltown *	APR-JUL	708.0	600.0	84	117	53				
	APR-SEP	816.0	695.0	85	117	53				
	APR-JUN	597.0	510.0	85	117	53				
LARK FORK RIVER above Hissoula	APR-JUL	1612.0	1340.0	83	109	57				
	APR-SEP	1815.0	1520.0	83	110	58				
	APR-JUN	1379.0	1150.0	83	109	57				

	RESERVOIR STORAGE	(1000AF)			I HATERSHED SNOWPACK ANALYSIS							
RESERVOIR	USEABLE E CAPACITY!	XX US THIS YEAR	EABLE STORA LAST YEAR	GE XX	WATERSHED	NO. COURSES AVE.D	THIS YEAR	AS % OF				
GEORGETOWN LAKE	31.0	24.9	26.2	25.2	CLARK FORK #b BLACKFOOT	43	111	91				
LOWER WILLOW CREEK	4.9	2.8	0.3	1.6	BLACKFOOT	22	90	83				
NEVADA CREEK	12.6	9.6		4.8 i	CLARK FORK above HISSOULA	59	103	86				

\*\*Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage. Average is for 1961-80 period.

# Clark Fork Basin below Missoula



#### WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

The Bitterroot snowpack improved significantly during February and is now a little below average. The lower Clark Fork also improved but still has below average snow cover. Precipitation during February was well above average. There has been some runoff from lower elevations because of snowmelt and rain. April through September runoff is forecast at near to a little below average on the Bitterroot streams. Streams flowing into the lower Clark Fork are expected to have below average runoff.


	FORECAST	20 YR	HOST	HOST	REAS.	REAS.		PEAK	LOH	ron
FORECAST POINT	PERIOO	AVE. (1000AF)	PROBABLE (1000AF)	FROBABLE (% AVE.)	MAX. (% AVE.)	HIN. (% AVE.)	FLOH (CFS)	DATE	FLON (CFS)	DATE
LARK FORK RIVER above Hissoula	ADG 1111	1612.0	1340.0	Ra	109	57				
THUY LOW WIATY SPOAK HISSOOIS	APR-SEP	1815.0	1520.0		110	58				
	APR-JUN	1379.0		83	109	57				
.F. BITTERROOT RIVER of Conner *	APR-JUL	164.0	150.0	91	118	65				
	APR-SEP	178.0	165,0	92	119	67				
ITTERROOT RIVER near Darby	APR-JUL	532.0	500.0	93	120	88				
	APR-SEP	580.0	540.0	93	119	67				
	APR-JUN	464.0	445.0	95	122	70				
KALKAHO CREEK near Hamilton	APR-JUL	48.7	46.3	95	111	80				
	APR-SEP			94	111	79				
URNT FORK CR or Stevensville x	APR-JUL	32.2		93	121	68				
	APR-SEP	37.4	34,5	92	118	67				
ITTERROOT RIVER at Hissoula #	APR-JUL	1384.0	1240.0	89	116	64				
	APR-SEP	1504.0	1350.0	89	116	64				
	KUL-89A	1191.0	1100.0	92	118	66				
LARK FORK RIVER below Missoula	APR-JUL	2996.0	2580.0	86	104	86				
	APR-SEP	3319.0	2870.0	86	104	68				
	APR-JUH	2570.0	2225.0	86	105	69				
LARK FORK RIVER at St. Regis	APR~JUL	3928.0	3420.0	87	112	62				
	APR-SEP	4411.0	3800.0	86	111	61				
	APR-JUN	3428.0	2965.0	86	111	61				
LARK FORK RIVER mear Plains #	APR-JUL	11071.0	9830.0	88	108	70				
		12153.0	10300.0	84	104	66				
	APR-JUN	9459+0	8120.0	85	105	67				
NOMPSON RIVER near Thompson Falls			185.0	79	103	55				
	APR-SEP	261.0	.210.0	80	105	56				
ROSPECT CREEK at Thompson Falls		132.0	110.0	83	109	58				
	APR-SEP	142.0	120.0	84	111	58				
ARK FORK at Whitehorse Rapids #	APR-JUL		10400.0	84	103	65				
	APR-SEP		11400.0	83	103	45				
	APR-JUH	10570.0	8915.0	84	103	65				

	RESERVOIR STORAGE	(1000AF)		WATERSHED SH	OHPACK ANA	ALYSIS	
RESERVOIR	USEABLE I CAPACITYI I	** USEAGLE STO THIS LAST YEAR YEAR	RAGE XX	WATERSHED	NO. COURSES AVE.D	~~~~~	R AS % OF
PAINTED ROCKS LAKE		NO REPORT		CLARK FORK above HISSOULA	59	103	68
HOXON RAPIDS	335.0	162.8 316.5	295.1 1	BITTERROOT	19	104	91
CONO	34.9	16.1 8.8	12.6	LHR CLARK FK blw HISSOULA	19	76	80
				BITTERROOT & LHR C.F.	37	86	85
			(	CLARK FORK TOTAL	91	92	86
		* * 1		FLATHEAD	48	79	79
	*	, i		PEND O'REILLE	134	87	83

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

Average is for 1961-80 period.

## Jefferson Basin



#### WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

Most drainages have average or above average snowpack. One exception is part of the Red Rock where snow cover is still below average. February precipitation was nearly twice as much as average at most locations. Spring and summer streamflows are forecast to be near to a little below average for the upper Red Rock River and average to a little above average on other drainages.


#### JEFFERSON RIVER BASIN

FORECAST POINT	FORECAST	20 YR. AVE.	HOST PROBABLE	MOST FROBABLE	REAS. MAX.	REAS. MIN.	PEAK FLON	PEAK	LOH FLOH	rox
	PERIOD	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(% AVE.)	(% AVE.)	(% AVE,)	(CFS)	DATE	(CFS)	DATE
ED ROCK RIVER near Monida x	APR-JUL	96.0	90.0	93	128	59				
	APR-SEP	103.0	96.4	93	127	59				
EAVERHEAD RIVER near Grant *	APR-JUL	137.0	134.0	97	132	64				
	APR-SEP	158.0	149.0	94	128	60				
EAVERHEAD RIVER at Barratts x	APR-JUL	180.0	175.0	97	131	63				
	APR-SEP	209.0	196.0	93	. 128	60				
JBY RIVER near Alder	APR-JUL	85.0	81.5	95	128	64				
	APR-SEP	101.0	96+2	95	128	63				
IG HOLE RIVER near Helrose	APR-JUL	498.0	685.0	98	128	68				
	APR-SEP	760.0	739.0	97	127	67				
ILLOH CREEK mear Harrison	APR-JUL	17,9	18.3	102	140	67				
	APR-SEP	20.0	20.2	100	135	65				

	RESERVOIR STORAGE		(1000AF)		HATERSH	ED SNOHPACK AN	ALYSIS	. The first law day and page 100 Mb.
RESERVOIR	USEABLE ! CAPACITY! I	** USE THIS YEAR	ABLE STOR LAST YEAR	AGE XX	HATERSHED	NO. COURSES AVE.D	THIS YEA	R AS % OF
LIHA	84.0	25.6	28.7	36.2	BEAVERHEAD	32	128	107
CLARK CANYON	. 257.0	145.3	147.9	141.2	RUBY	14	118	96
RUBY RIVER	38.8	29.7	27.8	26.7	BIGHOLE	27	116	101
				1	BOULDER	15	109	95
				j	JEFFERSON	69	119	102
			~~~~~	<b>'</b>				

xCorrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage. Average is for 1961-80 period.

## Madison Basin



# WATER SUPPLY SUTLOOK:

Snowpack in the headwaters near Yellowstone National Park is above average. Downstream the snow cover it about average in the Gravelly Range and below averagin the Madison Range. Precipitation during Februar, was almost twice as much as average. Streamflows on the upper Madison are forecast to be above average and decreasing to near average in the lower drainage


#### MADISON RIVER BASIN

FORECAST FOINT	FORECAST PERIOD	20 YR. AVE. (1000AF)	HOST PROBABLE (1000AF)	HOST PROBABLE (% AVE.)	REAS. MAX. (% AVE.)	REAS: MIN: (% AVE.)	PEAK FLOH (CFS)	PEAK DATE	LOH FLOH (CFS)	LOH DATE
MADISON KIVER near Grayling *	APR-JUL APR-SEP	388.0 496.0	432.0 545.0	111 109	129 128	93 92				
HADISON RIVER near HcAllister =	APR-JUL APR-SEP	672.0 848.0	670.0 832.0	99 98	118 116	82 80				

	RESERVOIR STORAGE		(1000AF)		I HATE	RSHED SHOHPACK AN	IAL.YSIS	
RESERVOIR	USEABLE 1 CAPACITYI I	** US THIS YEAR	EABLE STOR LAST YEAR	AGE XX	I I I HATERSHED I	NO. COURSES AVE.D		YEAR AS % OF YR. AVERAGE
ENNIS LAKE	41.0	30.1	32.5	35,7	MADISON above HEB	GEN 17	128	115
HEBGEN LAKE	378+0	277.1	305.2	224.6	I LOHER HADISON	20	117	94
				!   	   HADISON 	37	123	105

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage. Average is for 1961-80 period

## Gallatin Basin



#### WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

Snowpacks have improved a little during February but remain well below average in the Bridger Range and on the north end of the Gallatin Range. This area also has less snow than was measured a year ago. Snowpacks are a little better in the southern part of the headwaters but are still below average. February precipitation was a little above average. Spring and summer streamflows are forecast to be below average from all drainages.

#### CALLATIN KIVER BASIN


FORECAST POINT	FORECAST PERIOD	20 YR. AVE. (1000AF)	MOST PROBABLE (1000AF)	HOST PROBABLE (% AVE.)	REAS. MAX. (% AVE.)	REAS, MIN, (% AVE,)	FEAK FLOH (CFS)	PEAK DATE	LOW FLOW (CFS)	LON
GALLATIN RIVER near Gateway	APR-JUL	464.0	385.0	82	101	65				
	apr-sep	545.0	445.0	81	100	64				
E & W FK, HYALITE CR, or Bozeman *	AFR-JUL	25.0	20.4	81	96	64				
	apr-sep	29.0	23.5	81	97	66				
HYALITE CREEK mear Bozeman #	APR-JUL	39.0	31.6	B1	100	62				
2224	APR-SEP	45.0	36.3	В0	100	60				
GALLATIN RIVER at Logan	APR-JUL	523.0	400.0	76	102	50				
•	APR-SEP	611.0	470.0	76	103	51				

	RESERVOIR STORAGE		(1000AF)		WATERSHED	SNOHPACK AN	ALYSIS	
RESERVOIR	USEABLE 1 Capacityi I	** USE THIS YEAR	ABLE STORA LAST YEAR	AVE.	WATERSHED	NO, COURSES AVE,D	THIS YE	AR AS % OF
MIOOLE CREEK	8.0	6.3	3,8	3,6		14	111	87
				     	EAST GALLATIN GALLATIN	13 24	92 104	<b>69</b> 80

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

Average is for 1961-80 period.

# Missouri Basin



#### WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

Snowpack conditions improved during February. Mountain snowpacks are generally near average in the southern part of the drainage but decrease on downstream tributaries. Precipitation during February was above average. Some runoff occurred in late February from low elevation snowmelt and rainfall. Streamflows during the spring and summer period are forecast to vary from near average in the headwaters and tributaries in the southern areas to below average from downstream tributaries.

#### MISSOURI RIVER BASIN


FORECAST POINT	FORECAST	20 YR. AVE.	HOST Probable	MOST PROBABLE	REAS. MAX.	REAS. MIN.	PEAK FLOW	PEAK	LOW FLOW	LOH
~~~~~	PERTOD	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(% AVE.)	(% AVE.)	(% AVE.)	(CFS)	DATE	(CFS)	DATE
ISSOURI RIVER at Toston #	ADD 100	0407.0	1550 4		405	4.4				
1230OUT VIVEY St 102fol 1	APR-JUL APR-SEP	2196.0 2545.0	1990.0 2335.0	90 91	135 136	61 62				
	Ht U_SEL	234310	233310	71	130	04				
HEEP CREEK or White Sulphur Spas.	AFR-JUL	19.0	19.0	100	142	58				
	APR-SEP	22.0	21.9	99	141	59				
LT CREEK near Monarch	APR-JUL	123.0	118.0	95	132	60				
	APR-SEP	134.0	128.0	95	. 131	60				
ISSOURI RIVER at Fort Benton x	APR-JUL	3468.0	2995.0	86	140	56				
	apr-sep	3980.0	3535.0	88	140	56				
ISSOURI RIVER at Virgelle x	APR-JUL	4030.0	3432.0	85	142	54				
Jene Marian Paris General Marian	APR-SEP	4570.0	4015.0	87	142	54				
COOUTE STUDE										
ISSOURI RIVER near Landusky x	APR-JUL	4383.0	3805.0	86	146	54				
	APR-SEP	4980.0	4455.0	B9	146	54				
F. HUSSELSHELL near Delpine	APR-JUL	5,4	5.0	· 92	130	56				
• "	APR-SEP	6.4	5,9	92	125	47				
E MIRES OVELL						••				
F. MUSSELSHELL above Hartinsdale	APR-JUL	59.0	52.0	88	129	47				
	apr-sep	63.0	54.2	86	125	46				
SSOURI RIVER below Fort Peck x	APR-JUL	4428.0	3900.0	88	147	51				
	APR-SEP	4961.0	4365.0	87	147	51 51				
	· · · ·			υ,	17/	31				
KE SAKAKAHEA Inflow x	APR-JUL	12239.0	12000.0	98	145	61				
	APR-SEP	12775.0	12500.0	97	145	61				

***************************************	RESERVOIR STORAGE		(1000AF)		I HATERSHED SI	NOWPACK AN	ALYSIS	
RESERVOIR	USEABLE I CAPACITY	THIS	EABLE STO		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PE	NO. COURSES	THIS YEAR	Y AS % OF
		YEAR	YEAR	AVE.		AVE . D	LAST YR.	AVERAGE
CANYON FERRY LAKE	2043.0	1482.0	1379.0	1561.0	HISSOURT HEADHATERS	114	117	99
HELENA VALLEY	10.4	3,3	3.6	5.1	HEST SIDE MISSOURI	11	101	95
LAKE HELENA	10.4	10,9	10.9	9.9	SHITH-BELT	11	105	97
HAUSER & HELENA	61.9	63.0	63.0	60.1	HISSOURI MAINSTEM	22	103	96
HOLTER LAKE	81.9	78.1	75.4	63.6 I		17	84	82
SHITH RIVER	10.6	5,5	8,4	7.0 1		17	97	
NEWLAN CREEK	12.4	9.7	9.7	9.2 I				92
BAIR	7.0	2.0	0.5	4.7 1	TOTAL SOUTH TOTAL TECH	155	109	96
HARTINSDALE			0,0	4+/ t	HILK HEADHATERS	4	60	63
INV TUSTATE	23.1	5.1	5.7	9.5	BEAR PAH	6	26	37
DEADMAN'S BASIN	72,2	34.8		46.3 I	MILK RIVER	10	50	58
FORT PECK LAKE	18.9	13.8	15,6	14.8 I	MISSOURI in MONTANA			
				1		163	107	95
***					HISSOURI blw YELLOWSTONE	264	124	105

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

Average is for 1961-80 period.

## Sun, Teton and Marias Basins



#### WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

Snowpack improved during February but is still below average in most areas. Also, there is less snow now than was measured a year ago. High elevation snowpacks are a little better than lower and mid-elevation snowpacks. Precipitation during February was well above average. Runoff increased near the end of February from low elevation snowmelt and rainfall. Spring and summer streamflows are forecast to be below average from all drainages.

#### SUN-TETON-MARIAS RIVER BASINS


#### DIREAMFLOW FORECASTS

FORECAST POINT	FORECAST	20 YR. AVE.	MOST PROBABLE	MOST PROBABLE	REAS.	REAS. MIN.	PEAK FLOH	PEAK	LOX Flow	LON
	PERIOD	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(% AVE.)	(% AVE.)	(% AVE.)	(CFS)	DATE	(CFS)	DATE
SUN RIVER at Gibson Dan x	APR-JUL	522.0	438.0	83	108	60				
	apr-sep	570.0	479.0	84	108	60				
NO REDICINE CREEK near Browning *	APR-JUL	235.0	188.0	80	116	44				
	APR-SEP	248.0	198.0	79	114	46				
ADGER CREEK near Browning	APR-JUL	113.0	96.0	84	121	49				•
ADGER CREEK near Browning	apr-sep	130.0	112.0	86	120	52				
WIFT RESERVOIR Inflow or Dupuyer	APR-JUL	74.7	64.5	86	122	51				
	apr-sep	86.7	74.5	85	120	52				
UT BANK CREEK at Cut Bank	APR-JUL	108.0	82.0	75	112	40				
	APR-SEP	114.0	86.5	75	110	42				
ARIAS RIVER near Shelby	APR-JUL	518.0	414.0	79	117	43				
	APR-SEP	542.0	433.0	79	115	44				

	RESERVOIR S	TORAGE		(1000AF)	0	WATERSHED	SNOWPACK AN	ALYSIS	
RESERVOIR		USEABLE I CAPACITYI I	** USE THIS YEAR	ABLE STORA LAST YEAR	AGE **	HATERSHED	NO. COURSES AVE.D	THIS YEA	R AS % OF
GIBSON		99.1	68.1	50.9	43.9	SUN-TETON	12	83	83
PISHKUR		32.0	18.1	18.5	17.8 I	MARIAS	6	84	82
HILLOH CREEK		32.2	22,5	12.6	20.1	SUN-TETON-HARIAS	17	84	82
LOHER THO MEDICINE LAKE			NO REPO	RT	1				<b>.</b>
FOUR HORNS LAKE			NO REPO	RT	1				
SHIFT		30.0	25.0	9.2	15.2 I				
LAKE FRANCES		112.0	66.9	23.2	70.1 I				
LAKE ELHELL (TIRER)		1347.0	774,2	668,2	542.1				

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage. Average is for 1961-80 period.

# St. Mary and Milk Basins



#### WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

Warm temperatures near the end of February have depleted snowpacks in the Milk River drainage. Snow in the headwaters of the Milk and St. Mary Rivers is well below average even though February precipitation was well above average. Some of the February moisture fell as rain and passed through the snowpack and some melt was noted in lower elevation snowpacks. Streamflows are forecast to be well below average during the spring and summer months.


#### ST. MARY and MILK RIVER BASINS

FORECAST POINT	FORECAST PERIOD	20 YR. AVE. (1000AF)	MOST FROBABLE (1000AF)	HOST PROBABLE (% AVE.)	REAS. HAX. (% AVE.)	REAS. MIN. (% AVE.)	FEAK FLOW (CFS)	PEAK DATE	LOH FLOH (CFS)	LOH
SWIFTCURRENT CREEK at Sherburne x	AP&-JUL	112.0	86.6	77	99	55				
The state of the s	AFR-SEP	128.0	98.4	76	99	55				
ST. MARY RIVER mear Babb x	APR-JUL	416.0	308.0	74	90	58				
	APR-SEP	487.0	366.0	75	91	59				
MILK RIVER at Eastern Crossing x	HAR-SEP	279.0	260.0	93	129	81				
MILK RIVER at Eastern Crossing	HAR-SEP	107.0	81.7	74	111	64				

	RESERVOIR STORAGE		(1000AF)	,	HATERSHED SNOWPACK ANALYSIS				
RESERVOIR	USEABLE 1 CAPACITYI I	** USE THIS YEAR	EABLE STOR LAST YEAR	AGE **	HATERSHED	NO. COURSES AVE.D		EAR AS % OF	
LAKE SHERBURNE	64.3	40.9	33,3	21.9	MILK HEADHATERS	4	60	63	
FRESHO	127+0	59.4	7.6	58.5 (	BEAR PAN	6	26	37	
BEAVER CREEK	3.5	3,3	0.9	1,7	MILK RIVER	10	50	50	
NELSON	66.8	33.2	12.3	38.7 1	ST. HARY	11			
				i	-7	11	69	71	
					ST. MARY and HILK	17	62	67	
				i	BOW RIVER in ALBERTA	10	141	122	
				.	OLDMAN RIVER in ALBERTA	8	80	91	

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage, Average is for 1961-80 period,

## Yellowstone Basin



#### MATER SUPPLY SUTLOOK:


Snowpacks vary from above average in the Yellowstone headwaters to below average in the Bridger and Crazy Mountains. The Tongue, Powder and Bighorn drainages in Wyoming have above to well above average snow. February precipitation was nearly double the average. Spring and summer runoff is forecast above average for tributaries starting in or near Wyoming. Tributaries originating in the Crazy and Bridger Mountains are forecast to have below average streamflows.

			HILLOR FON						
FORECAST FOINT	FORECAST PERIOD	20 YR. AVE.	HOST FROBABLE (1000AF)	HOST PROBABLE	REAS. May.	REAS. HIN.	PEAP FLOH	PEAR	Lűh
YELLOWSTONE at Lake Outlet	APR-SEP	826.0	900.0	108	125	93			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
YELLOWSTONE at Corwin Springs	AFR-JUL APR-SEF	1696.0 2027.0	1643.0 1960.0	97 96	113 113	91 81			
YELLOWSTONE near Livingston	APR-JUL APR-SEP	1969.0 2379.0	1870.0 2250.0	94 94	111 111	79 79			
BOULDER RIVER at Big Timber	AFR-JUL AFR-SEF	366.0 398.0	370.0 393.0	101 98	125 123	77 75			
STILLWATER RIVER or Absarokee #	AFR-JUL APR-SEF	528.0 632.0	530.0 632.0	100 100	132 132	69 68			
CLARKS FORK RIVER near Belfry			620.0 705.0	110 112	140 142	80 82			
COUNEY RESERVOIR Inflow				96	129 129	65 64			
YELLOWSTONE RIVER at Eillings	APR-JUL	3833.0	3910.0	·	129 126	84 81			
BIGHORN RIVER near St. Xavier *	APR-JUL	1794.0 1976.0	2315.0 2555.0	129 129	168 168	102 102			
LITTLE BIGHORN RIVER near Hardin		162.0	195.0 218.0	120 119	167 167	45 64			
TONGUE RIVER near Decker	APR-JUL APR-SEF	244.0	250.0	102 98	136	68 65			
FELLOHSTONE RIVER at Miles City #		5906.0	6500.0 7355.0	110 10B	144 142	86 84			
PONDER RIVER at Mobrehead			267.0	109 107	161 159	44 42			
YELLOWSTONE RIVER near Sidney &		6544.0	7200.0	110	146 144	83 81			
RESERVOIR	STORAGE			1 1		HATERSHED			
BETTO LOVE				 			• • • • • • • • • • •		


	RESERVOIR STORAGE		(1000AF)		WATERSHED SN	OKPACE: AN	ALYSIS	
RESERVOIR	USEARLE   CAPACITY!	XX US THIS YEAR	SEABLE STOR LAST YEAR	AGE NX	WATERSHED	NO. COURSES		AR AS : OF
HYSTIC LAKE	21.0	2.2	1,4			AVE.D		AVERAGE
			114	7.3	YELLOHSTONE & LIVINGSTON	25	140	116
COOKEY	27 . 4	18.4	19.2	14.6	SHIELDS	10	101	72
BICHORN LAKE	1356.0	733.5	854.9	590.4	BOULDER-STILLWATER	7	122	٩¢
TONGUE RIVER	68.0	24.6	10.2	34.4	CLARK'S FORK-ROCK CREEK	21	145	111
				i	YELLOWSTONE above BIGHORN	49	128	100
				į	LITTLE BIGHORN	5	138	109
				į	HIND RIVER (Myoming)	27	231	171
				į	BIGHORN RIVER (Myoming)	34	171	131
				į	BIGHORN BASIN (Total)	57	184	146
				. i	TONGUE RIVER (Hyoming)	15	139	:17
	•			į	POHDER RIVER (Hyoming)	15	171	117
					YELLOWSTONE RIVER	117	153	117

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

Average is for 1961-80 period.



March 1, 1986



FEBRUARY 1986

Source: NWS Great Falls, MT

Over 130% 110-130% 90-110%

# The Following Organizations Cooperate With The Soil Conservation Service In Snow Survey Work

#### Canadian

Department of the Environment Atmospheric Environment Service Water Management Service

British Columbia Ministry of Environment

Inventory and Engineering Branch, Hydrology Section

Alberta Environment

**Technical Services Division** 

#### **Federal**

U.S. Department of Agriculture

**Forest Service** 

U.S. Department of the Army

Corps of Engineers

U.S. Department of Commerce NOAA, National Weather Service

National Environmental Satellite Service

U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs Fish and Wildlife Service Geological Survey National Park Service

Bureau of Reclamation U.S. Department of Energy

Bonneville Power Administration

#### State

Montana Conservation Districts

Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation

Montana Department of State Lands

Montana State University - Agricultural Experiment Station

University of Montana - School of Forestry

#### **Private**

Big Sky of Montana Butte Water Company

Flathead Valley Community College

Montana Power Company

Pondera County Canal & Reservoir Company

Other organizations and individuals furnish information for the snow survey reports. Their cooperation is gratefully acknowledged.